Commission grandfathered any non-SMR licensees operating on these channels and pointed out that under the Commission's intercategory sharing rules, fully loaded non-SMR systems continue to have access to channels in the SMR pool.

C) Replacement of lotteries with waiting lists.

The Commission generally relies on the "first come, first served" concept in granting licenses in the private land mobile radio services. When, however, applications are filed that cannot be granted because insufficient channels are available to satisfy all of the requests, the Commission employs either a "waiting list" (as was the case for channels governed by Subpart M) or a "notice/lottery" procedure (as was the case for channels governed by Subpart S). M and S replaced lotteries for channels governed by Subpart S with waiting lists. Licensees of existing SMR systems that are fully loaded are given a preference on the waiting lists.

#### D) New loading standards.

The Commission decided to phase out use of loading standards as a trigger for automatic cancellation of channels that a licensee has not fully loaded. The Commission determined that unused channels could be more efficiently reassigned to licensees who need them through the workings of the marketplace. The new rules provide for a transition period by continuing to impose a five year loading requirement of 70 mobiles per channel for any system-licensed before June 1, 1993. Systems licensed after that date will not be required to meet any loading requirements to retain their channels.

#### E) Authorization of partial assignments.

A partial assignment occurs when one SMR licensee reassigns to a third party less than the full number of channels for which the licensee is authorized.  $\underline{\underline{M}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{S}}$  removed the prohibition on partial assignments which dated back to the original allocation of 800 MHz channels in 1974. The Commission felt that partial assignment is a more efficient mechanism for moving channels to their highest valued use than the previous channel take back and reassignment program.

#### F) Technical standards.

 $\underline{\underline{M}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{S}}$  allows SMR operators to use any channel bandwidth (rather than only 25 kHz for 800 MHz and 12.5 kHz for 900 MHz). Loading standards for systems with non-standard bandwidths will be the loading requirements given the original allocation. Operators of SMR systems will be allowed to employ both trunked and other comparably efficient modes of operation.

135

#### Trunking of Conventional Channels: Docket No. 87-213

Effective August 24, 1990, a General Category was created consisting of the 150 channels previously available only for conventional systems. The main implication of this action for SMRs is that additional frequencies were made available for use by trunked SMRs. All entities eligible under Part 90. including SMRs, are eligible to use channels in the General Category. These frequencies are now available for either trunked or conventional use. SMRs can obtain these channels for their use in trunked systems through intercategory sharing. Like all intercategory sharing, to obtain channels, an SMR must demonstrate that no 800 MHz SMR channels are available. An SMR receiving sufficient channels so that it is no longer fully loaded will have its name removed from any appropriate waiting lists. SMRs seeking to expand may apply for unassigned channels or for reassignment of channels from a constructed system in the General Category. In addition, several constructed conventional radio systems licensed under the general category may be combined into a single SMR (or into a multiple licensed non-SMR trunked community repeater). New trunked systems may not, however, be created by using unassigned General Category frequencies. Applications for trunked SMRs using General Category frequencies must be coordinated by one of the three coordinators recognized above 800 MHz.

Expansion in scope and size of trunked systems promotes spectrum efficiency. This action further enhances spectrum efficiency by making many channels previously unused available to radio services with no remaining available frequencies.

# EXHIBIT H

Declaration of Rick E. Hafla Blocked Call Rate

#### DECLARATION OF RICK E. HAFLA

I, Rick E. Hafla, hereby declares as follows.

I am Vice President of Teton Communications, Idaho Falls, Idaho and have been for three years. I am primarily responsible for the engineering aspect of the company. Teton is a family-owned business which has provided SMR and mobile radio service to Idaho Falls and Easter Idaho for many years.

#### Frequency Saturation.

Because of frequency warehousing by Nextel controlled entities and OneComm, Teton Communication's busy hour interconnect loading currently results in an average of 9% call blocking on each of our sites. For the first time in our history of providing SMR service, we have been forced to sell new customers into a declining level of service.

Prior to August, 1994, Teton has always licensed and constructed additional channels in advance of demand based upon our network statistics. Because we are a low cost alternative to cellular but offer similar features, (voice mail, call forwarding, etc.) customer demand continues for our service, yet our current ability to meet demand is limited by lack of spectrum resulting from warehousing.

Coupled with the August 9th freeze on pending SMR applications, Teton Communications inability to gain channels resulted in a formal decision to delay construction of three sites planned in 1994. One of these sites (Palisades, Idaho) was planned for coverage expansion. Two additional sites (Iona Butte and Teton Communications Shop location) were planned principally

to meet customer demand. We forged ahead and completed land and utility acquisitions at these locations this fall. These sites support <u>rural</u> SMR market delivery, and our capital investment is now stalled for lack of frequencies.

Teton filed applications for thirty additional channels in April and August, 1994 that were "frozen" by the FCC. (File Nos. 680748-074, 661997-034, 661006-034 and 6807470004). Now that the FCC has started to process the backlog, it is unclear whether our applications will be successful competing against the large volume of speculation licenses filed nationwide, including Eastern Idaho. The FCC's approval (apparently after the freeze) of OneComm's 1000+ licenses granted with a five year construction interval raises even more uncertainty.

Under the current FCC proposals, Nextel's purchase of OneComm promises the demise of our business. If the FCC approves Nextel's acquisition of the 50 "old" channels, with or without providing relief to current occupants, Teton Communications forever remains a "local" SMR with poor opportunities for growth. Nextel, on the other hand, can market nationwide coverage, take five years to construct, limit our access to new channels, and ultimately gain conversion of our existing customers due to our forced inability to compete.

In Eastern Idaho, we actively compete with Clark Radio in Blackfoot, Mountainland and Earl's Wireless in Idaho Falls, Zundel Radio in Pocatello and numerous other smaller rural SMRs. As a result, SMR customers in Eastern Idaho enjoy extremely

favorable interconnect rates vs. cellular and wide area cost effective dispatch communications. If any of these companies were permitted to block Teton's ability to grow or gain access to additional spectrum, the outcome would be predictable. Through concentration of frequencies controlled by one operator, we are unable to expand, serve new customers and compete. If the Nextel merger is approved, the strong competition that currently exists and produces a 5-10x rate variation for operators will not exist providing only "local dispatch" service. Enclosed is a copy of our most recent regional rate comparison.

Another impact of the Nextel control would be the demise of R & D on competing systems from EF Johnson and Ericsson GE due largely to diminished market share. The SMR manufacturers have encouraged diversity and market competition with dissimilar systems. On our own, SMRs have proposed solutions to offering customers wide area transport through associations such as Northwest Wireless Network. Unfortunately, these efforts will dead end if Nextel prevails as the wide area market provider. Smaller SMRs with no opportunity to grow (with or without Motorola equipment) will place fewer equipment orders, manufacturers will delay or stop R & D, and ultimately only one manufacturer/service company will reign supreme; Motorola dba Nextel.

We have held our current price structure firm for the last six years to the benefit of our customers. This is because strong competition exists in our market. Nextel's entry, at marketing. Since they will not be able to compete with us on price, they have chosen a route through the FCC to eliminate the competition from smaller SMRs through a segmentation of the industry.

Nextel's and OneComm's taking away our ability to gain spectrum is tantamount to limiting our ability to compete and will lead to the decay of this business.

The statements of fact made herein are true and correct of my own knowledge. This declaration is given under pains and penalties of perjury.

Rick E. Hafla

Attachments (2)

Dated: December 14, 1994

#### ASSESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

#### EQUIPMENT COST . . .

#### CILLULER

#### TETOS COMENICATIONS

per unit = 4 382.98 INSTALLED

per unit # \$ 1,648.15 INSTALLED

4 1,648,15 Telon 352.30 Collular \$ 1,265.25 difference

minimum annual savings -- \$ 535.64 annual service savings

2.37 years to pay back initial equipment cost then continued savings on service for 7.68 years.

#### MINITHLY SERVICE COST BASED ON 290 RINUTES AVERAGE USE

#### CELLULAR

#### TETON COMMUNICATIONS

22.95 36 rounded up minutes. \$ 62.66 258 66 second minutes.

226 rounded up minutes.

250 rounded up minutes. 1 52.46 256 real minutes. 184.35

# 62.# X .85 = W/TAX # 65.1# 194.35 X 4.85=W/TAX # 189.57

189.57 - 8 65.18 = 8 44.47 KINIMEM monthly savings !!!!

189.57 X 12 months = 4 1,314.84 = Ceilvian i year service cost

\$ 65.10 X 12 months = \$ - 781.28 = Teton i year service cost minimum annual sevings - \$ 233.64 = 1 year savings (the more minutes used beyond 250 the greater the savings!!

# 1,314.84 X 16 yrs. = # 13,148.48 Celiular 18 year cost #- 7.812.88 Teton 18 year cost # 5,336.48 18 year Savings on service

### "OTHER COST SAVING PEATURES"

### CELLULAR

### TETOM BOO LTR TRUNKED PROME + RADIO

Maintenance cost extra: t# 55.96 per hour + parts cost!

Free maintenance for as long as you are with Teton.

Toll free calling: Along corridor Ashton to Raft Miver.

Toil Free calling : (from any site) All of Eastern Idaho. Island Park to Downey & Soda Springs, Driggs to Twin Pails (62 prefixes).

Cost after 250 rounded up minutes: \$ .37 per rounded up minute.

Cost after 25# real 60 sec. winutes: \$ .25 per 6# second minute.

Cost per minute between company units = 4 .14 per unit, per minute (# .28 per minute to the company).

Cost per minute between company Phones via radio = No charge. FREE ! UME\_INITED USE!!!

Naximum mobile output power: 3 watts.

Haximum mobile nutput powers 36 YATTS.

East Idaho Coverage: limited to coverage from valley floor towers, line of site.

East Idaho Coverage: Provides service to wide area from Mountain Top Towers, line of site.  80.9 JRTOT

# RATINGS

# POOR, FAIR, GOOD, EXCELLENT

1		TETON VHF	TETON 800	CELLULAR	MOTOROLA 800
ر ا	Coverage in East, Id.	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Fair-good
	Normal 7 digit phone				
	nimper	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	Idaho Falls	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	Rexburg	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	Shelley	Yes	Yes	Unknown	No
	One phone number	·			
	covers all system	Yes	No	Unknown ·	No
	Toll free calling to				
	all of East. Id.	Ye\$	Yes	No	No
	Any call restrictions	NO	No	Unknown	costs extra
	Compatibility with	·	_	·	_
	other systems	Excellent	Poor	Good	Poor
	Availability of systems		•		
	outside East. Id.	Excellent	Good	Poor	Fair
٠.	Compatibility to other				
-	systems for roaming	Excellent	Good	Good	Fa1r
,	Equipment lessing with				
	80Z return	. Yes	No	No	Ма
	One stop shopping for	•			
	sales, service &				
	billing	Yes	Yes	No	No
	Cost of operation for				
	100 min./mo.	<b>\$50.00</b>	\$32.00	\$80 to \$85	\$45.00
	50 min over 3 min.	1			
	50 min under 3 min			Ant 50	407 00
	50 min. LD to E. Id	No charges	No charges	\$25.00	\$25.00
	Cost of operation for				
	300 min/mo	\$50 to \$100	\$52.00	\$160 to \$235	\$99.50
	150 min over 3 min				
	150 min under 3 min				
	100 min LD to E. Id	No charge	No charge	\$50.00	\$50.00
	Call lengths available	4 or 30 min.	12.5 min.	Un <b>known</b>	Varied by syste loading
		*			
	Additional charges for			•	
	Additional charges for repair of unit	\$15.00/mo.	Incl.	Yes	Yes
	repair of unit		Incl.	Yes	Yes
<u> </u>	repair of unit	\$15.00/mo. (includes loaner)	Incl.	Yes	Yes ,

## EXHIBIT I

Chart - SMR Frequency Concentration Top 15 Urban Markets\*

\* Source: <u>USA v. Motorola, Nextel</u>, (Case No. 94-2331) (D.D.C. October 27, 1994)

# **NEXTEL'S DOMINATION OF SMR FREQUENCIES IN MAJOR CITIES**

<u>City</u>	Nextel 800 + 900 MHz frequences*	Motorola 800 + 900 MHz frequencies*	Total Nextel + Motorola	Others 800 + 900 MHz frequencies*	Nextel % of total SMR after acquisition
Atlanta, GA	250	140	390	105	79
Boston, MA	260	90	350	200	64
Chicago, IL	162	157	319	115	74
Dallas, TX	240	145	385	62	86
Denver, CO	165	90	255	165	56
Detroit, MI	93	97	190	50	79
Houston, TX	186	225	411	110	79

<sup>\*</sup> Figures obtained from "Complaint for Judgment and Injunctive Relief" in <u>United States v. Motorola, Inc.</u>, Case No. 94-2331 (D.D.C. filed October 27, 1994) (antitrust action against Motorola, Inc. and Nextel Communications).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rounded to nearest percent.

<u>City</u>	Nextel 800 + 900 MHz frequences*	Motorola 800 + 900 MHz frequencies*	Total Nextel + Motorola	Others 800 + 900 MHz frequencies*	Nextel % of total SMR after acquisition
Los Angeles, (	CA 158	76	234	130	64
Miami, FL	291	101	392	106	79
New York, NY	Y 174	177	351	100	78
Orlando, FL	276	67	343	130	73
Philadelphia, F	PA 111	196	307	134	70
San Fransisco,	CA 251	57	308	35	90
Seattle, WA	175	94	269	45	86
Washington, D	OC 149	151	300	75	80
TOTALS	2941	1863	4814	1562	76

<sup>\*</sup> Figures obtained from "Complaint for Judgment and Injunctive Relief" in <u>United States v. Motorola, Inc.</u>, Case No. 94-2331 (D.D.C. filed October 27, 1994) (antitrust action against Motorola, Inc. and Nextel Communications).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Rounded to nearest percent.

# EXHIBIT J

SMR WON - Seven Market Frequency Study

#### SMR WON STUDY OF 7 SMR MARKETS

SMR WON has conducted a frequency study of 851-866 MHz of seven (7) selected SMR markets. This study, ordered from Interactive Systems Inc. (ISI), was comprised of 70 mile radius search information on 851-866 MHz pending applications and granted licenses as of December 12, 1994. (ISI reports that with the backlog of approximately 40,000 applications, the ISI database will not be up to date to accurately reflect pending licenses until January 15, 1995.) Each database was then analyzed as set forth below.

- This information was loaded in Paradox 3.5, a relational database.
- The record of any pending license that had been subsequently granted was manually removed from the database. If no corresponding granted license appeared in the database, the pending license was left in the database. If more than one pending license appeared for the same granted license, the remaining pending licenses were left in the database.

NOTE: Any pending Cencall application in the same frequency and location as a granted Onecomm application has also been removed.

3) A database to match frequencies, channel numbers and FCC allocations was created, based on the following FCC rules:

```
90.613 [channel numbers and frequencies]
90.615(a) [FCC allocations - General category]
90.617(a) Table 1 [FCC allocations - Public safety]
90.617(b) Table 2 [FCC allocations - Industrial]
90.617(c) Table 3 [FCC allocations - Business]
90.617(d) Table 4A [FCC allocations - SMR]
```

- 4) This new channel number/FCC allocation database was linked to each database received from ISI, in order to match frequencies to channel numbers and FCC allocations.
- 5) Each database printout contains the following information:
  Channel number, FCC allocation, Frequency, Radio service,
  Licensee, Status, and Location. This is a complete list of
  the database, arranged by frequency, with a space between
  each frequency. See example pages at the end of this
  exhibit. (Note that some frequencies may not be listed.
  This means that no appication was pending or license granted
  on that frequency, according to the December 12, 1994, FCC
  database.)

#### TABLE 1

### SPECTRUM SATURATION: VACANT CHANNELS & THEIR ALLOCATIONS

This table lists the number of vacant channels in each FCC allocation. A checklist was used to note VACANT channels. Those channels were then manually counted to provide the total number of vacant channels in each allocation.

Table 1A 851-866 MHz Channels 1-600

## Number of Vacant Channels Per Market, Excluding Safety:

Market	General	Business	Industrial	SMR	Total
Columbia, SC	1	16	1	0	18
Sunnyside, WA	53	30	20	0	103
Covington, LA	0	1	0	0	1
Washington, IL	5	15	11	0	31
Kosciusko, MS	36	42	0	0	78
Idaho Falls, ID	149	47	40	0	236
Enid, OK	19	35	16	0	70

Table 1B 851-866 MHz Channels 1-600

### Number of Vacant Safety Channels Per Market:

Market	Safety
Columbia, SC	50
Sunnyside, WA	60
Covington, LA	0
Washington, IL	52
Kosciusko, MS	41
Idaho Falls, ID	68
Enid, OK	53

# TABLE 2 LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSEE LIST

This table lists the licensees in the lower 80 SMR channels. These are channels 201-208, 221-228, 241-248, 261-268, 281-288, 301-308, 321-328, 341-348, 361-368 and 381-388. The list gives a total count of the number of licenses each licensee holds in this range. It also gives a grand total of all the SMR licenses in this range.

This information was derived by constructing queries to pull out the data for the frequencies in this range. With the results of this query, a report was designed that grouped the information according to licensee and gave a sum of the number of licenses for each licensee, as well a total of all licenses in this category.

TABLE 2A: Columbia, SC
TABLE 2B: Sunnyside, WA
TABLE 2C: Covington, LA
TABLE 2D: Washington, IL
TABLE 2E: Kosciusko, MS
TABLE 2F: Idaho Falls, ID

TABLE 2G: Enid, OK

# Table 2A COLUMBIA, SC

### LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

TOTAL	LICENSEE
34	* ADVANCED MOBILECOMM OF NORTH CAROLINA INC
	CANN, WILLIAM R
5	CAROTANE INC
5	CHARPING, CARROLL E
10	COMMUNICATIONS SPECIALISTS INC
9	* DIAL CALL INC
10	DODD, ARTHUR R
5	JORDAN, WILLIAM R
5	MORRIS COMMUNICATIONS INC
114	* MOTOROLA INC
5	MPX SYSTEMS INC
	OOH BABY PRODUCTIONS INC
5 5 3	PALMER COMMUNICATIONS INCORPORATED
5	POWERSPECTRUM INC
3	RILEYS COMMUNICATIONS INC
15	SMART SMR INC
149	* SOUTHEASTERN SMR LLC
5	SPRINGS, GINGER
8	STRICKLAND, R G
5	SULLIVAN, PHILIP M
5	SUNRISE COMMUNICATIONS INC
	SYNCOM INC
60	* TRANSIT COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2B Sunnyside, WA

## LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

ror	FAL LICENSEE
5	ACCU COMM INC
5	AHO, SARI
2	ARTHUR HANSEN SITES CO
5	BUSINESS RADIO INC
410	) * CENCALL INC
	CHERRY, TIM
	HOLESWORTH, W A
5	JOHNSON, HEATHER
10	) * LATTIN, LAWRENCE E
40	) * MOTOROLA INC
289	* ONECOMM CORPORATION N A
2	QUESTAR TELECOM INC
5	ROBBINS, JODY
5	SCHWALB, MICHAEL A
	S SPECTRUM COMMUNICATIONS INC
	S SPECTRUM RESOURCES INC
1	USITV INC

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2C Covington, LA

# LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

TOTAL	LICENSEE
2	A & H COMMUNICATIONS
5	AFM PARTNERS INC
4	BILL ROBERTS INC
5	BOWLES, DAVID: STEELE, JOHN F
10	* COASTAL PRODUCT SERVICE
10	* COASTEL INC
5	CUNNINGHAM COMMUNICATIONS INC
5	DIGITAL SERVICES CORPORATION
3	E F JOHNSON COMPANY
1	
10	•
3	GONTHIER, RALPH J
5	GROCE, RICHARD
5 2	GULF STATES SYSTEMS INC
15	HARROWBY TV INC * HERMANN, THOMAS C
5	HUDSON, DENISE J
5	* JASPER COMMUNICATIONS
8	* JASPER COMMUNICATIONS  * JASPER COMMUNICATIONS INC
3	JUNG, SURIN: BALCH, JOSEPH A: MIGNACCO JR, EUGENE
J	A:BROOKER, WILLIAM
5	KOPP, AMY
5	KUMP COMMUNICATIONS INC
5	LAWSON, DAVID L
2	MARY FRANCIS MARTONE INC
10	* METROLINK COMMUNICATIONS CORP
147	* MOTOROLA INC
5	NATIONAL RADIO
5	NATIONAL REPEATER SYSTEMS INC
13	OOH BABY PRODUCTIONS INC
5	PFH PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS INC
9	PINKSTON, EMILY
5	RAGUSA, B:RAGUSA, D:REED, B:CLOY, D
22	* SABER COMMUNICATIONS INC
2	* SABER COMMUNICTIONS INC
10	SCHWEGMANN GIANT SUPERMARKETS
1	SHELLY CURTRIGHT INC
93 26	* SOUTHEASTERN SMR LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
26 8	* SOUTHEASTERN SMR LLC SOUTHERN COMPANY
5	STRICKLAND, G C
10	STRICKLAND, G C STRICKLAND, GEORGE
5	TELTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS INC
7	* TRANSIT COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
•	

- 5 TWIN OAKS 2 WAY
- 18 \* TWO WAY COMMUNICATIONS INC
- 11 USITV INC
- 1 WAGES, MICHAEL
- 5 \* WITTE, ROSE M:TRUST ROSE W
- 5 \* WITTE, ROSE M:TRUST, ROSE W
- 5 WOODARD COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
- 2 \* WOODRUFF, MARTIN
- 7 \* ZZZ COMMUNICATION NETWORKS INC

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2D Washington, IL

# LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

## TOTAL LICENSEE

5	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS
5	BEAMS, BRUCE H: LUPARELL, STEVEN M
39	* C CALL CORP
5	* CENTENNIAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC
5	EPM COMMUNICATIONS INC
5	GALESBURG COMMUNICATIONS INC
7	HARROWBY TV INC
10	INNOTECH CORPORATION
10	* JCC LTD
10	JEFF ROBERTS INC
10	JOSEPH MARTONE INC
10	KATHY RECOS INC
5	
	MAUREEN WIDING INC
5	
34	* MOTOROLA INC
3	RA CO COMMUNICATIONS INC
5	
175	
4	SPECTRUM RESOURCES INC
10	* SUNRISE COMMUNICATIONS INC
5	* SUPREME RADIO COMMUNICATIONS INC
_	TAD DOBBS INC
_	USITV INC
5	WHEELER, JIM

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2E KOSCIUSKO, MS

## LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

TOTAL	LICENSEE
5	* B & M COMMUNICATIONS
55	* C CALL CORP
2	COMMUNICATIONS SPECIALISTS INC
13	DAMIENS INC
2	DRU JENKINSON INC
7	INABNET, BILLY
3	JUNG, SURIN:BALCH, JOSEPH A:MIGNACCO JR, EUGENE
	A:BROOKER, WILLI
4	MARY FRANCIS MARTONE INC
3	MAUREEN WIDING INC
2	MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY PHYSICAL PLANT
40	
5	O DELL, MICHAEL W
	PFH PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS INC
_	RICE COMMUNICATIONS
1	SHELLY CURTRIGHT INC
5	* SMART SMR INC
5 40	SMITH, BILLIE M * SOUTHEASTERN SMR LLC
- <del>-</del>	SOUTHERN COMPANY
3	STARKVILLE, CITY OF
4	STRICKLAND, G C
7	* TRANSIT COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
, 5	USITY INC
3	USSERY, RANDY W
5	WAGES, MICHAEL
5	WOOD, LINDA
5	YERGER III, WIRT A
-	- ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2F Idaho Falls, ID

## LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

## TOTAL LICENSEE

5	AKIYAMA, JOE
11	* CENCALL INC
7	DAIGNEAULT COMMUNICATIONS INC
-	
20	DON CLARKS RADIO ELECTRONICS INC
5	DRU JENKINSON INC
5	DRU JENKINSON INC
10	ELIZABETH MARTONE INC
5	FALL RIVER RURAL ELECTRIC COOP INC
10	HARROWBY TV INC
10	HGTV INC
5	HUNTER ITV INC
10	ITALIA TV INC
15	JANA GREEN INC
10	KATHY RECOS INC
10	MAUREEN WIDING INC
5	O32E INC
134	* ONECOMM CORPORATION N A
10	PATRICIA FLEMING INC
5	STEVE DOWDY INC
5	TENTH STREET TV INC
10	TETON COMMUNICATIONS INC
3	
3	ZUNDELS RADIO INC

Grand total of lower 80 SMR licenses in this market:

310

<sup>\*</sup> Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

# TABLE 2G Enid, OK

## LOWER 80 SMR CHANNELS LICENSE LIST

TOTAL	LICENSEE
4	BOLAY MOBILECOM INC
12	* CEN CALL INC
5	DCL ASSOCIATES INC
9	LEONS RADIO INC
5	MOBILE ELECTRONICS INC
19	* MOTOROLA INC
387	* ONECOMM CORPORATION N A
5	* ONECOMM CORPORATION NA
10	PERMIAN CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS INC
20	PITTENCRIEFF COMMUNICATIONS INC
10	S E & E PARAFFIN SERVICE COMPANY
5	* SMART SMR INC
5	SMOCK, GENE
5	SMOCK, GENE A
5	WYATT, WILLIAM C

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize \star}$  Nextel owned, operated, managed or under contract for sale to Nextel.

## TABLE 3

PENDING APPLICATIONS AND GRANTED LICENSES FOR SMR OPERATING IN THE GENERAL CATEGORY FREQUENCIES BETWEEN CHANNELS 1-150

#### PART 1

This table lists licensees operating with the YX designation (Trunked SMR) between channels 1-150. The list gives a total count of the number of licenses each licensee holds in this range. It also gives a grand total of all the YX licenses in this range.

This information was derived by constructing a query asking for licenses with a YX Radio Service designation at less than frequency 853.76250 (channel 151). With the results of this query, a report was designed that grouped the information according to licensee and gave a sum of the number of YX licenses for each licensee, as well a total of all licenses in this category.

#### PART 2

This table lists licensees operating with the GX designation (Conventional SMR) between channels 1-150. The list gives a total count of the number of licenses each licensee holds in this range. It also gives a grand total of all the GX licenses in this range.

This information was derived by constructing a query asking for licenses with a GX Radio Service designation at less than frequency 853.76250 (channel 151). With the results of this query, a report was designed that grouped the information according to licensee and gave a sum of the number of GX licenses for each licensee, as well a total of all licenses in this category.

TABLE 3A: Columbia, SC
TABLE 3B: Sunnyside, WA
TABLE 3C: Covington, LA
TABLE 3D: Washington, IL
TABLE 3E: Kosciusko, MS
TABLE 3F: Idaho Falls, ID

TABLE 3G: Enid, OK